

QAR Team Meeting April 4, 2011

Next Meeting –April 11, 2011 at WH2NW 9:00AM

First	Last	Organization	Present
Bakul	Banerjee	CD	X
Frank	Cesarano	BSS	X
Nicole	Gee	WDRS	X
Tom	Gehrke	QAE	X
Jed	Heyes	QAE	X
Tom	King	QAE	X
John	Martzel	QAE	X
Tim	Miller	ES&H	X
Kurt	Mohr	QAE	X
Susan	Rahimpour	QAE	X
Adam	Bracero	TD	
Don	Rohde	AD	X
Keith	Schuh	PPD	X
John	Dawson	ES&H	X
Rod	Walton	FESS	X

Action Items for Next Meeting

1	Jed to find dashboard presentation for QAR information.			
2				
3				

Updates:

Assurance Council: Special mtg on 28th. Fermi dashboard was presented. The dashboard will be sharepoint centric. Access will be set up to allow all with an account to see it. Should be on line by the end of the year.

Regular mtg on the 29th. New tax laws allow foreign national to have their income taxed. A structure of management systems documentation was discussed. FSO FRA & U. Chicago interactions need to get on the same page regarding contract requirements. New FSO manager has become a force in reduction of bureaucracy.

ES&H: Had 3 assessments last week. They were GAO, Safeguards & Security, EPA. NEPA assessment begins tomorrow

WDRS:

BSS: N/A

Assessments: *Records Management:*

Completed WDRS. Closing meeting on Friday.

ES&H done on Friday. Report out this week.

EVMS:

Report is out for review of factual accuracy.

Graded Approach: N/A

Process Improvement: N/A

Agenda for today: Assessment training comment review:

NOTES:

Nicole, Tim, Don, Rod & John will form a subcommittee to discuss these points:

Overall comments:

- try and make it sound more like an "inside" document written for the benefit of "ME", the guy who knows nothing about assessment jargon -- the guy who's about to be interviewed.
- the document does in fact convey the whole "what and why of assessments", but most employees will have little interest in what the assessment manager's role is. They WILL, however, sit up and take notice about slide 28 where things get meaningful to THEM.
- This document is way too long and it's unclear who the real target audience is
- Sounds overly bureaucratic if it's really intended for everyone

QAR Team Meeting April 4, 2011

- In the Assessment manual, this is listed as requirement for assessors, which means to me that this training should prepare you to be an assessor. Is that still the objective? I don't think this training can fulfill both roles.
- the tone I'm getting is that this was written for general industry. Most Fermi people don't think in terms of "products" (except maybe TD), "doing business", "customer expectation". We more often think in terms of "performance" and "performance goals". We don't, for example, aim for a DOE expectation. we aim for perfect performance and in the process surpassing the goal that we have (in fact) set for ourselves
- The support sections think in "customer" and "product" terms exactly, although I understand that the programmatic divisions may not. TD is the exception, but 20 years ago, TD was a section.

Tom Gehrke
Meeting adjourned – duration 50 min